

# Use of the Crown Court Digital Case System & Common Platform

**Purpose:** To advise barristers on use of the DCS & Common

Platform and avoiding the conduct of litigation

Overview: The DCS & Common Platform – Pupils' access to the DCS

& Common Platform – The conduct of litigation – BSB guidance – The use of the DCS & Common Platform to

lodge, file or serve documents

**Scope of application:** All practising criminal barristers

**Issued by:** The Ethics Committee

**Issued:** March 2018

Last Reviewed: December 2021

**Status and effect:** Please see the notice at end of this document. This is not

"guidance" for the purposes of the BSB Handbook I6.4.

#### The DCS & Common Platform

- 1. The Crown Court Digital Case System ("CCDCS", commonly shortened to "DCS") is a web-based platform that allows users to view and print off case documents that have been uploaded to it. Each Crown Court case has its own digital file.
- 2. Users of the system must be registered to gain access to it. Registration requires a secure CJSM email address (which almost all barristers and solicitors practising in crime will have). Access to an individual case file is by invitation of anyone with existing access.
- 3. Each DCS case file has sections for up-loaded documents: including indictments, witness statements, exhibits, applications, unused material etc. Once a user has access to the DCS case file, he or she can upload new documents to the

relevant sections. Each document is dated by the system, so that it can be seen at a glance when it was uploaded.

- 4. The Common Platform system is intended to act as a case-management system covering cases in the Magistrates', Youth and Crown Courts. It is intended that Common Platform will be used for all cases, including non-Police prosecutions.¹ It has been introduced in a limited number of Courts and its rollout will continue in 2022 with a view to it eventually being used in all criminal courts.
- 5. In the Crown Court, the Common Platform will be used for administrative case management (e.g. for advocates signing in to Court) with DCS continuing to run in parallel for case materials<sup>2</sup>. Furthermore, it is not currently intended to migrate current cases onto Common Platform. Accordingly, the majority of Crown Courts will continue to require the use of DCS for some years.
- 6. In the Magistrates' and Youth Courts, it is intended that Common Platform will be used both for administrative case management and for accessing and uploading case materials.

## Pupils' Access to the DCS & Common Platform

- 7. Her Majesty's Court and Tribunal Service (HMCTS) have confirmed that there is no issue with accounts on the DCS being created for pupils (including first six pupils). Pupils can then, where appropriate, be granted access to their pupil supervisor's cases.
- 8. It remains a pre-requisite to obtain a CCDCS or Common Platform account to have a working CJSM address.
- 9. As would be expected, pupils are required to agree to the terms and conditions of the DCS, Common Platform and CJSM when opening accounts. This is, of course, in addition to the usual professional conduct requirements.

### The conduct of litigation – BSB Guidance

10. This short note addresses the concern that criminal barristers who use the DCS or Common Platform system may be considered to be 'conducting litigation'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The DCS is used for all police and CPS prosecutions, but some non-police prosecutions (e.g. FSO, FCA, Local Authority and private prosecution) do not use DCS. HMCTS intends that once Common Platform is fully rolled out, all prosecutions will be managed via Common Platform.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It is anticipated that Common Platform will eventually replace DCS, but DCS will remain in parallel for the foreseeable future.

- 11. A barrister who is not authorised to conduct litigation commits a criminal offence if he or she does conduct litigation.
- 12. The Legal Services Act 2007 provides<sup>3</sup> that the following categories of persons can conduct litigation:
  - a. Those who have the right to conduct litigation granted by an authorised body, such as the Law Society or Bar Council;
  - b. Those on whom the right to conduct particular litigation is conferred by enactment<sup>4</sup>;
  - c. Those to whom the right to conduct litigation in relation to particular proceedings has been granted by a court; and
  - d. Litigants in person.
- 13. The definition of the 'conduct of litigation' is contained in Schedule 2 para 4(1) of the Legal Services Act 2007. It is:
  - (a) the issuing of proceedings before any court in England and Wales;
  - (b) the commencement, prosecution and defence of such proceedings; and
  - (c) the performance of any ancillary functions in relation to such proceedings (such as entering appearances to actions).

Paragraphs 4(1)(a) and 4(1)(b) of the definition are tolerably clear. The leading case on paragraph 4(1)(c) is *Agassi v Robinson* [2005] EWCA Civ 1507. The Court of Appeal held that 'ancillary functions' were confined to 'formal steps required in the conduct of litigation'. The Court of Appeal did not, however, consider it necessary to give a list of which steps in a case would or would not fall into this category. It also commented that 'this is a difficult area, and it is unfortunate that this important definition is so unclear'.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Sections 18, 19, and Schedule 3 para 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Barristers employed by the CPS, the Treasury Solicitor, government departments and any public body which performs functions on behalf of the Crown may conduct litigation while acting within the scope of their employment:

https://www.barstandardsboard.org.uk/media/1849621/guidance on conducting litigation.pdf

- 14. The BSB has set out in its own Guidance on Conducting Litigation ('the BSB Guidance') its view as to what amounts to the conduct of litigation. It states that it includes<sup>5</sup>:
  - issuing proceedings or applications;
  - filing an acknowledgment of proceedings;
  - giving your address as the address for service;
  - filing documents at court or serving documents on another party;
  - issuing notices of appeal;
  - signing off on a list of disclosure; and
  - laying of an information in a Magistrates' court.

The BSB acknowledges however that this list is not exhaustive. (It has separately clarified that while instruction of an expert does not amount to the conduct of litigation, the filing of an expert report and/or serving the report on another party will fall within the definition6.) Barristers who lack authorisation to conduct litigation should therefore proceed with caution.

- 15. The BSB Guidance makes clear that where the client is a litigant in person, a barrister can assist the client by advising him or her on what steps to take by way of his or her own conduct of the litigation.
- 16. The BSB Guidance also suggests that certain activities undertaken by barristers or their clerks which look like they might fall within the conduct of litigation do not in fact do so: see the BSB Guidance at para 8. The activities identified, so far as concerned with lodging or filing documents at Court or serving documents on another party, include the following:
  - Conducting correspondence on behalf of clients (provided that the correspondence in itself does not amount to the conduct of litigation as is likely to be the case, for example, if the purpose of the letter was to effect formal service).
  - Lodging certain documents for hearings. The BSB Guidance states "It is proper for barristers or clerks to lodge certain types of documents for hearings, provided that they are secondary to the barrister's role as an advocate. Barristers often draft the case summary, chronology, list of issues or position statement."

<sup>6</sup> <a href="https://www.barstandardsboard.org.uk/media/1849621/guidance\_on\_conducting\_litigation.pdf">https://www.barstandardsboard.org.uk/media/1849621/guidance\_on\_conducting\_litigation.pdf</a> - para 9, final bullet point.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>https://www.barstandardsboard.org.uk/media/1849621/guidance\_on\_conducting\_litigation.pdf</u> -para 5.

- Serving and lodging skeleton arguments. The BSB Guidance states "Exchanging skeletons with an opponent or sending skeletons and bundles of authorities to the court is allowed. In a criminal case, defence barristers often hand a defence case statement to the Crown or the court".
- Covering applications to fix trial dates. The BSB Guidance states "Clerks regularly fix trial dates to ensure that the date is convenient for the barrister instructed. It is also permissible for clerks to make representations to the Masters in relation to hearing dates."
- Liaising with the other side or the court over the preparation of an order.
- Discharging a duty or a courtesy to the court. The BSB Guidance states "For example, a letter or e-mail to a judge explaining an absence from court, or providing dates to avoid or corrections to a draft judgment".

The logic here is that these "exceptions" are traditional barristers' work: barristers and their clerks have traditionally done them when instructed by solicitors and therefore (unless many barristers have inadvertently been committing criminal offences for many years) should not fall within the definition.

17. Because the Common Platform system will apply in the Magistrates' and Youth Courts as well as the Crown Court, particular issues may arise in respect of the use of Common Platform by barristers instructed in the Magistrates' and Youth Courts where they will often be instructed as agents under the solicitor's legal aid order rather than under a representation order for counsel.

### The use of the DCS or Common Platform to lodge, file or serve documents

18. A potential difficulty arises when particular documents are communicated to other parties, or to the Court, **for the first time** by uploading them to the DCS or Common Platform. The uploading (and/or receipt) of documents required to be filed or served will amount to the filing or service of those documents within the meaning of the Criminal Procedure Rules 2015 Part 4. There is a concern that the way in which the DCS and Common Platform have been implemented may not have taken fully into consideration the risk of a barrister inadvertently conducting litigation by uploading documents required to be filed or served.

19. The Ethics Committee has compiled the following table of documents indicating which documents a barrister, lacking authorisation to conduct litigation, is permitted to lodge, file or serve using the DCS; and which he or she may not.

Document	Permitted	Not permitted
Indictments		•
Defence Statements <sup>7</sup>	•	
Formal Admissions <sup>8</sup>	•	
Applications and Notices		•
Formal responses to Applications and Notices		•
Witness Statements (and exhibits)		•
Expert Reports (and attachments)		•
"Batting Orders" i.e. orders of witnesses	•	
Case Summaries	•	
Chronologies	•	
Opening Notes	•	
Skeleton Arguments	•	
Sentencing Notes	•	
Character references		•
(Bundles of) Authorities	•	
PET forms (in the Magistrates' & Youth Courts)	•	

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The BSB Guidance takes the view that service and filing of a Defence Statement does not amount to the conduct of litigation: see para 16 (third bullet point) above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> CJA 1967 s. 10(2) specifies that an admission under that section, if made out of court, must be made in writing; if made in writing by an individual, shall purport to be signed by the person making it, and if made on behalf of a defendant who is an individual, shall be made by his counsel or solicitor. It appears therefore that an out of court admission made in writing on behalf of an individual defendant may be made and signed by counsel; and it is probable that the communication of such an admission to the court and to other parties may also be effected by counsel using the DCS, even if he or she lacks authorisation to conduct litigation.

- 20. Where a barrister is instructed by a solicitor (and therefore is not conducting the relevant litigation), he or she should not upload to the DCS those documents that he or she is not permitted to file/lodge or serve in the capacity of an advocate; but should invite the instructing solicitor to do so.
- 21. There is an argument which says that if the barrister, when uploading a document, is merely acting as an agent of their Instructing Solicitor, then it is the solicitor rather than they who should be considered to be doing the act amounting to the conduct of litigation9. The Bar Council, however, considers it would be prudent to adopt a cautious approach. A barrister who uploads a document to the DCS is likely to be considered to be acting autonomously within the scope of their own practice; and therefore, depending on the document in question, likely to be regarded as conducting litigation.
- 22. If the Court, or a judge, seeks to persuade a barrister to use his or her access to the DCS or Common Platform system to file or serve documents that he or she is not permitted to file, lodge or serve (in that or any other way), it will be necessary to point out that this risks involving the barrister in criminal conduct.
- 23. A solution to the problem may well be to invite the relevant Court to grant the barrister the right, **in that limited respect**, to conduct litigation in relation to the proceedings in question; thereby constituting the barrister, for the purpose of lodging/filing or serving documents via the DCS or Common Platform, an 'exempt person' under Schedule 3 para 2(2) of the Legal Services Act 2017. If such a right is granted, it is considered prudent for the barrister to ensure it is recorded in a formal order or direction given by the court.
- 24. It seems unlikely that there is any objection to a barrister, lacking authorisation to conduct litigation, uploading to the DCS or Common Platform documents which have **already** been lodged, filed and/or served by others who are entitled to conduct litigation<sup>10</sup> (i.e. by instructing solicitors, or the client).

#### Public access and the DCS

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Ndole Assets Ltd v Designer M&E Services UK Ltd [2015] EWHC 1009 (TCC) at [27], which indicates that where an agent, such as a process server, exercises a delegated authority to serve a document on behalf of a solicitor, they are not themselves to be regarded as conducting litigation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> In *O'Connor v BSB* (2012), High Court of Justice, unreported, the Visitors of the Inns of Court found that filing a Defence and Counterclaim at court would amount to the conduct of litigation, but sending a copy to the other side for information purposes would not.

25. Lay clients are not permitted to use the DCS. Following lobbying by the Bar Council's Direct Access Panel, HMCTS has recently amended its guidance to provide barristers who are instructed on a public access basis with access to the DCS, whether or not they have authorisation to conduct litigation.

### 26. The DCS Guidance<sup>11</sup> states:

Direct Access Barristers (DABs) may have access to the Crown Court Digital Case System (DCS) and the XHIBIT Portal if they confirm they are acting for a client. It is important for DABs to be able to show that they are the instructed legal representative either by being named as a party's representative:

- (i) in any legal aid representation order made under section 16 of the Legal Aid, Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders Act 2012;
- (ii) in any notice for the time being given under rule 46.2 (Notice of appointment, etc. of legal representative: general rules), provided that person is entitled to conduct litigation in the court under section 13 of the Legal Services Act 2007; or
- (iii) in writing or electronically by that party, provided the representative is entitled to exercise a right of audience under section 13 Legal Services Act 2007.

Please see Criminal Procedure Rules and Practice Directions 2020 for further information.

### Access to Digital Case System (DCS)

Courts will require confirmation of a representation order, or a confirmation of appointment in writing or electronically, which will be uploaded to DCS Section U: Representation.

New or first time applications for access by DABs will also have registration confirmed through a search of the Barristers' Register.

- 27. Thus a public access basis who has litigation rights falls under paragraph (ii) above, and one who is simply exercising a right of audience falls under paragraph (iii). In both cases, they will be allowed access to the DCS provided their lay client gives the appropriate notice to the court.
- 28. It should be noted, however, that in terms of conducting litigation a barrister who is instructed on a public access basis is in the same position as one instructed by a solicitor. If the barrister does not have authorisation to conduct litigation they should not do those things that the table in paragraph 19 indicates are 'Not permitted.' The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> HM Courts & Tribunals Service <u>Access the Digital Case System and XHIBIT portal – direct access barristers</u>

lay client will have to serve or lodge those documents themselves, for example by sending hard copies to the court or the prosecution.

## Gaining the right to conduct litigation

- 29. Since 2014, self-employed barristers have been able to apply for an extension to their practising certificate to allow them to conduct litigation. Though that right is granted by the Bar Council as an authorised body (see paragraph 12(a) above), the application process is managed by the Bar Standards Board.
- 30. The application form is available on the BSB's website  $^{12}$ . The application fee is £90. If the information provided satisfies the 'Outcomes' listed in the form, the BSB will grant the applicant authorisation to conduct litigation. If the application is refused the barrister can apply to the Independent Decision-making Body for a review.

### **Important Notice**

This document has been prepared by the Bar Council to assist barristers on matters of professional conduct and ethics. It is not "guidance" for the purposes of the BSB Handbook I6.4, and neither the BSB nor a disciplinary tribunal nor the Legal Ombudsman is bound by any views or advice expressed in it. It does not comprise – and cannot be relied on as giving – legal advice. It has been prepared in good faith, but neither the Bar Council nor any of the individuals responsible for or involved in its preparation accept any responsibility or liability for anything done in reliance on it. For fuller information as to the status and effect of this document, please see <a href="here">here</a>.

9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Conducting litigation: Guidance and applications (barstandardsboard.org.uk)